

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel  
Tuesday - 14 September 1971

Page 2

25X1 5. [ ] Met with Senator John Stennis and briefed him on latest developments regarding Soviet ICBM and ABM programs and asked his advice about briefing Senator Henry M. Jackson. He said Senator Jackson should be fully briefed on these matters.

Senator Stennis asked about the effects within the Executive Branch of his letter to the President regarding Agency involvement in Laos. See Memo for Record.

25X1 6. [ ] Talked to Mr. Richard Barton, Employee Benefits Subcommittee staff of the House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, who told me that the Subcommittee considered the invasion of privacy draft bill this morning but did not complete their considerations. The Committee will again meet on the bill next Tuesday, 21 September. See Memorandum for the Record.

25X1 7. [ ] Talked to Mr. Albert Westphal, House Foreign Affairs Committee staff, who told me that the hearings this morning on H. R. 9637, to provide for the establishment of an American Council for Private International Communications (the RFE/RL bill), were uneventful. Discussion was on a rather philosophical note although former Ambassador Gronouski seemed to make the best impression on behalf of the Radios. Hearings will continue tomorrow.

25X1 8. [ ] Met with Mr. Jack Conmy, Press Secretary to Senator Richard Schweiker (R., Pa.), who gave me a copy of the newest epistle dated 7 September from Mr. Peter M. Heller. The Senator's office had also received a previous one dated in August which contained about the same material. No action by the Agency is indicated.

25X1 9. [ ] In followup on an inquiry which was made to us through the Library of Congress by Mr. David Johnson, on the staff of Representative Michael Harrington (D., Mass.), I called Mr. Johnson this morning and explained to him that the CIA authors of papers which had been printed in a Joint Economic Committee study on the Soviet economy could really add nothing to what they had already written on the subject of their articles. (Johnson had advised the Library of Congress that Representative Harrington was interested in talking to these two people.) I said that by agreement with the Chairmen of our Agency Subcommittees we did not normally make our people available for questioning for sessions such as he had contemplated.

Mr. Johnson did not press this issue but said he was interested in obtaining an unclassified statement as to why intelligence estimates on Soviet R&D could not be made public. I discussed the matter with him and agreed to talk further with our people. 2007/02/07 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000100140005-1

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel  
Monday - 13 September 1971

Page 2

25X1C



25X1 5. [redacted] I talked to Mr. John Martiny, Chief Counsel, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, who told me that the Employee Benefits Subcommittee, chaired by Representative James Hanley (D., N.Y.), will be marking up tomorrow in executive session a Committee Print or draft bill dealing with invasion of privacy of Federal employees. They will not be marking up H.R. 7199, the Wilson bill, which was reported in the published weekly schedule. He told me that Dick Barton, the Subcommittee Staff Assistant, would have copies of the bill and sectional analysis for me.

I met later with Mr. Barton and reviewed the Committee draft bill. I pointed out to Mr. Barton that although the Committee had met the intelligence community objections on the substantive items in the bill, they had not granted exemption from the procedural requirements. In response to his question I told him that the Agency would need full exemption from the bill as drafted. Mr. Barton suggested that it would be well if Agency representatives could meet with Chairman Hanley during the day before the Committee session tomorrow and that he himself would relay his agreement with our request as soon as he has the opportunity.

25X1 6. [redacted] Received a call from Mr. James Murphy, DCS, who asked whether a debriefing is under consideration for Senator Charles Percy (R., Ill.) who recently travelled to East Pakistan. I told Mr. Murphy that none was scheduled but that I would relay his question and be back in touch in the morning.

CONFIDENTIAL

# CONFIDENTIAL

## JOURNAL

### OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Monday - 13 September 1971

25X1  
25X1A  
1. [ ] Senator Milton Young called to inquire about the application of Mr. [ ] in whom the Senator had previously expressed considerable interest. He said [ ] was extremely anxious to obtain a position with the Agency, and I said when I last looked into the matter his processing was well underway, but I would take another look in the light of possible personnel freezes. 25X1A

Senator Young said he would like an intelligence roundup briefing sometime this week, tentatively at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, 16 September.

25X1  
2. [ ] Called Joe Wolf, State Department, to request a change in the Department's draft reply to Senator Stuart Symington's 7 July request for information about U.S. arrangements for information and intelligence exchanges with foreign governments. Wolf said my proposal would cause them some problems but I said I thought it was the best we could do and he said he would check it out with his superiors and call me back.

25X1  
3. [ ] Upon learning that the Hanley Subcommittee on Employee Benefits, of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, planned hearings tomorrow on a new version of the Ervin bill, I met with Chairman Hanley and Richard Barton, of his staff, to explain that, despite the fact that the new version of the bill provided the Agency a number of desirable exemptions, we were still very much troubled by the fact that it nevertheless provided aggrieved employees with the right of appeal to an outside board or a Federal court. I pointed out that this would result in forcing the Agency either to reveal a great deal about its inner workings in order to defend itself in such an appeal, or remaining silent in the interest of security and thereby losing the case by default. Hanley appreciated our position and assured us of his support for a complete exemption for CIA, as well as NSA and the FBI. He was uncertain as to how the other members of the Subcommittee would vote, but seemed to think there was a fair chance that a complete exemption could be obtained. If not, he thought we could still solve our problem if Chairmen Mahon and Hebert would weigh in for a full exemption with Chairman Dulski, of the full Committee, when the bill reaches that point.

# CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Wednesday - 8 September 1971

25X1 1. [ ] In response to her request, sent a professional employment brochure to [ ], in the office of Representative John Rousselot. 25X1A

25X1 2. [ ] Called Roy Bullock, Staff Administrator, House Foreign Affairs Committee, to say that we would much prefer to avoid appearing before Representative Lee Hamilton's Near East Subcommittee as requested for 21 September. I said the State Department was directly involved in the Middle East situation, and had all the information available to the Agency plus a good deal of its own, and hence would be in a better position to cover the subject fully. I also said we were always glad to appear before the full Committee, but would prefer to avoid setting a precedent of appearing before individual subcommittees. I also noted that we could not agree to the request for the appearance of an individual Agency officer by name. I told Mr. Bullock that we realized Chairman Morgan might have a problem with the Subcommittee and would like to be helpful, but hoped he could get us off the hook on this occasion. I added I would be happy to call him or go to Pennsylvania to see him if this appeared useful.

Mr. Bullock said thus far Chairman Morgan, who is recuperating from an operation, knew nothing about the Subcommittee request and Bullock would explain it to him and pass on to him my comments. Bullock will let me know the results.

Later in the day, Mr. Bullock called to say he had discussed by phone with Chairman Morgan the problem of Representative Hamilton's request. Chairman Morgan said he plans to return to Washington early next week and would be glad to discuss the matter with Agency representatives at that time.

25X1 3. [ ] Met with Mrs. Gerry Myers, Employee Benefits Subcommittee staff of the House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, who told me that materials are being prepared in anticipation of a markup type review by the Subcommittee on the invasion of privacy legislation pending before the Subcommittee. Executive meeting of the Subcommittee is scheduled for 14 September 1971.

CONFIDENTIAL